Tracking Control of a Miniature 2-DOF Manipulator with Hydrogel Actuators
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Abstract—Due to the nature of the complex spatiotemporal dynamics of stimuli-responsive soft materials, closed-loop control of hydrogel-actuated mechanisms has remained a challenge. This paper demonstrates, for the first time, closed-loop trajectory tracking control in real-time of a millimeter-scale, two degree-of-freedom manipulator via independently-controllable, temperature-responsive hydrogel actuators. A linear state-space model of the manipulator is developed from input-output measurement data, enabling the straightforward application of control techniques to the system. The Normalized Mean Absolute Error (NMAE) between the modeled and measured displacement of the manipulator’s tip is below 10%. We propose an Observer-based controller and a robust $H_{\infty}$-optimal controller and evaluate their performance in a trajectory tracking output-feedback framework, compared with and without sinusoidal disturbances and noise. We demonstrate in simulation that the $H_{\infty}$-optimal controller, which is computed using Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI) methods, tracks an elliptical trajectory more accurately than the Observer controller and is more robust to disturbances and noise. We also show experimentally that the $H_{\infty}$-optimal controller can be used to track different trajectories with an NMAE below 15%, even when the manipulator is subject to a 3 g load, 12.5 times an actuator’s weight. Finally, a payload transport scenario is presented as an exemplar application; we demonstrate that an array of four manipulators is capable of moving a payload horizontally by applying the proposed $H_{\infty}$-optimal trajectory-tracking controller to each manipulator in a decoupled manner.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Soft actuators, composed of deformable matter such as fluids, gels, elastomers, and shape memory alloys (SMAs) [1], are lightweight and noiseless, in contrast to pneumatics with pumps and motors. Stimuli-responsive materials have potential applications in micro-manipulation, sensing, optics [2], [3], and biomedical applications [4]. Hydrogels in particular have the ability to absorb and release water, undergoing reversible volumetric changes that facilitates their use as soft actuators [5]. A variety of hydrogel formulations exist, enabling these materials to change state under different external physical or chemical stimuli [6], [7]. For example, poly(N-isopropylacrylamide), or PNIPAAM, is a commonly used temperature-responsive hydrogel that contracts when heated.

Hydrogel-based active mechanisms using morphing or bending beams and sheets have been utilized for sensing, smart micro-fluidic valves, optical lensing, and micro-scale swimming and walking [9]. More complicated tasks, such as picking and placing objects with open-loop control methods, have also been demonstrated [10]. Due to the nature of the stimuli, closed-loop control of hydrogel actuators has remained a challenge. Light sources such as lasers [11], for instance, require sophisticated and bulky equipment to produce motion. The methods used in [12] require bulk heating of the surrounding fluids, which limits their application to confined environments, such as tanks. Electric fields [13] and chemical gradients [14], [15] affect an entire region simultaneously, which means that all actuators placed in those fields are subject to the same stimulus. This results in primitive systems that are capable of performing simple tasks. For instance, novel devices with soft, 3D-printed, parallel, contactless actuators for biomedical applications like cell manipulation and drug release [16] use electro-responsive hydrogels and are stimulated via electric...
In this paper, we introduce the design, implementation, and experimental validation of closed-loop controllers for hydrogel-actuated robots. We demonstrate our control approach on a millimeter-scale, two degree-of-freedom (DOF) manipulator actuated by two SVAs, shown in Fig. 1. Many prior control-oriented models developed for similar systems have been governed by the kinematic equations describing rigid links [18], [19], which are less useful in the design of feedback controllers for continuously deformable robots with soft actuators embedded within their structure. To address this, we propose a black-box identified model as in [20], [21] that simplifies system dynamics in the form of a linear state-space representation. Modern control is built upon state-space models and state-space system identification, which makes modern control techniques more practical in application [22], [23]. We apply system identification methods to obtain a linear state-space model of the manipulator, which can be used to implement a wide range of controllers for different applications. We design an $H_\infty$-optimal output-feedback tracking controller [24], similar to the $H_\infty$ output-feedback controller in [25] for flexible needles guidance with a difference that their control system is dynamic rather than static. We then compare it in simulation to an observer-based output-feedback controller. The $H_\infty$-optimal controller is then experimentally validated for planar reference trajectories. Finally, we show that our approach can be used to control more complex mechanisms actuated by SVAs through a demonstration of payload transport by four manipulators.

In summary, the contributions of this paper are as follows:

1) Implementation of active temperature-responsive hydrogel-based actuators (the SVA) as independently-controllable units.
2) Development and experimental identification of a linear state-space model of the manipulator that can be used to implement a variety of control techniques. This linear model is sufficiently accurate for control purposes, despite the complex nonlinear dynamics of the actuators.
3) Demonstration of, for the first time, the ability to control a 2-DOF mechanism with independently-controllable hydrogel actuators in real time using output-feedback controllers.
4) Demonstration of an exemplar payload transport application using an array of four manipulators with this versatile and computationally-inexpensive technique.

II. MANIPULATOR FABRICATION

SVAs are fabricated by embedding small Joule heaters within a mold, temperature-responsive PNIPAM hydrogel in the shape of a rectangular prism, as illustrated in Fig. 1b and 1c. When an electric potential is applied across the embedded Joule heater, the actuator shrinks uniformly. The manipulator, also shown in Fig. 1b, consists of two SVAs affixed to a 3D-printed T-shaped extension, which serves as the end-effector. A standard PNIPAM hydrogel precursor solution is used to fabricate the SVAs from thermo-responsive hydrogel, using a recipe described in [17]. Each SVA is $8 \times 4.5 \times 3 \text{mm}^3$ in its fully swollen state, with a total weight of 0.12 g, including the embedded-Joule heater (10 Ω SMD resistor 0805), which is connected to microcontrollers by wires. The T-shaped extension is 3D-printed in nylon using a Markforged M2 3D printer. A circuit board, which serves as the fixed base of the manipulator, is attached to one side of the two SVAs; the T-shaped extension is attached to the other side. The circuit board and extension are attached to the SVAs with superglue to ensure that they remain in contact with the SVAs during the experiments. Since hydrogels must be immersed in water to absorb water when cooling, all experiments are conducted in a tank of deionized (DI), room-temperature water.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup used for closed-loop control and tracking of the manipulator’s trajectory. A Logitech C930e USB Webcam is placed in front of the tank to send real-time data to the image processing program in MATLAB which tracks the position of a marker on the manipulator tip. These measurements of the manipulator tip’s position over time are transmitted back to the controller. We used a black-and-white checkerboard with 2 mm × 2 mm squares to estimate the camera calibration factors (mm/pixel) along the $x$ and $y$ axis (Fig. 1). White was selected as the color of the tank’s background, and black was selected as the color of the manipulator tip’s marker to facilitate contrast-based filtering between the foreground and background. The Camera Calibration Toolbox in MATLAB was initially used to compensate for lens distortion, but since this increased the image processing time by 30% without significantly improving the image data, the original camera images were subsequently used without compensation. All control algorithms are implemented in MATLAB; the controller output is sent to an Arduino Mega2560, which acts as the physical communication layer between MATLAB and a PCA9685 MOSFET board. This MOSFET board, with 16 discrete output channels, receives a PWM signal from the controller and applies it (maximum: 3.7 V) at higher current to the corresponding Joule heater.

IV. MANIPULATOR MODELING

In this section, the kinematics of the manipulator are derived in order to compute its workspace. A two-dimensional linear state-space model of the manipulator is then defined using black-box system identification methods.
Since the hydrogel-based SV As have a relatively slow response compared to electric motors and other actuators, they are modeled as linear contractile elements since only one dimension of their volumetric shape change influences the displacement of the manipulator. Thus, with two prismatic actuators connected in parallel, the manipulator may be considered a 2-DOF mechanism. As shown in Fig. 2a, \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are defined as the linear height of each SV A. These values vary between 3 mm in inactivated SV A to 2 mm when activated by the embedded Joule heaters; \( l = 6.5 \text{ mm} \) is the spacing between SV As, \( d \) is the length of the extension, and \( \phi \) shows the extension’s angle from the horizontal axis. We assume point \( M \)’s displacement in the \( x \) direction is negligible (Fig. 2a). The forward kinematics of the manipulator may be computed geometrically for the manipulator tip’s, \( p_e \), in Cartesian coordinates, \( x_e \) and \( y_e \), in the reference frame with origin \( O \), according to

\[
p_e = \begin{bmatrix} x_e \\ y_e \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \phi = \arctan\left(\frac{p_1 - p_2}{l}\right),
\]

\[
x_e = d \sin(\phi), \quad y_e = d \cos(\phi) + \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}.
\]

The polar coordinates \( \rho \) and \( \theta \) of the manipulator’s tip in this reference frame (see Fig. 2a) are given by

\[
\rho = \sqrt{x_e^2 + y_e^2}, \quad \theta = \arctan\left(\frac{x_e}{y_e}\right).
\]

As illustrated in Fig. 1c, if both SV As are activated simultaneously with the same input voltage, then the manipulator’s tip moves along the \( \rho \)-axis at a constant \( \theta \); if only the left or right SV A is activated, then the tip undergoes an angular displacement at a constant \( \rho \). Other SV A activation patterns produce a combination of displacements in both \( \rho \) and \( \theta \). Two different extensions with lengths of \( d = 25 \text{ mm} \) and \( d = 9 \text{ mm} \) were fabricated and tested, and their workspaces are shown in Figs. 2b and c, respectively. The longer extension is used to amplify the motion of each actuator, resulting in a larger workspace and making the controller performance easier to measure and evaluate. Extra loads may be added to the shaft of the longer extension, as shown in the left image in Fig. 2b, in order to experimentally test the robustness of the controller. The shorter extension, by contrast, supports higher loads on the tip during trajectory tracking, as demonstrated in the payload transport application in Section VI-C.

### B. Linear State-Space Model

As explained in the last section, the displacements of the SVAs and manipulator tip are not decoupled, since the T-shaped extension connecting the actuators to the tip establishes a rigid kinematic transformation from the prismatic motion of the actuators to the 2-DOF planar motion of the end-effector. To find and select a model that best represents the system’s behavior, a number of models were considered including state-space models of different dimensionalities. We model this control system using a two-dimensional linear state-space representation, which enables the implementation of a variety of control methods. Defining \( \mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 1} \) as the vector of unknown system state variables at time \( t \), \( \mathbf{x}(t) \) as the vector of time derivatives of the state variables, \( \mathbf{u}(t) = [V_1(t) \ V_2(t)]^T \) as the vector of inputs, and \( \mathbf{y}(t) = [\theta(t) \ \rho(t)]^T \) as the vector of outputs, the state-space model is given by

\[
\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t), \quad \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(t) \tag{4}
\]

where the matrices \( \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \) and \( \mathbf{D} \) must be determined for each extension (25 mm and 9 mm), separately. Since the state variables of the model are not necessarily measurable, it is crucial to understand the relationship between various input-output models and state-space models in order to accurately identify the state-space model from input-output data [22]. The 2-input 2-output state-space model showed a good fit to the data and also directly provides the unknown matrices that are required for designing the controller. \( \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \) and \( \mathbf{D} \) are identified by applying black-box system identification to a set of time series input-output data according to [26], using the MATLAB System Identification Toolbox. The identified matrices for the 25 mm extension were found to be:

\[
\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.0007 & -0.0301 & 0.0444 & 6.0548 \\
-0.0016 & -0.0623 & 0.0254 & -1.4325 \\
-0.2613 & 0.6580 & 7.2633 & -374.9846 \\
-0.0243 & 0.1643 & 3.0590 & -44.3024 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.0001 & 0.0003 \\
-0.0000 & -0.0001 \\
-0.0051 & -0.0232 \\
-0.0001 & -0.0042 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix}
1.1446 & -0.0046 & -0.0020 & 0.0034 \\
-1.1431 & -3.5368 & 0.0020 & -0.0534 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Multiple input-output data sets were gathered across various ranges of amplitudes and frequencies to find the unknown matrices. Since the hydrogel-based SVAs have a relatively slow response compared to electric motors and other actuators,
and it has a specific range of 25° to 32° from cooling to heating phases, the model is linearized around the fastest signal that permitted the hydrogel actuators to respond across their full temperature range. Figure 4a plots two selected input voltages among the data set that were experimentally applied to the SVAs which covers the tip workspace and depicts a 50% shift in the SVAs’ input signal. The signal shift covers the actuation limits in the modeling and provides an active cooling phase which improves both the speed and tracking performance of the manipulator; it means that the SVAs were actuated from a starting point of half-actuation (50%) and then the signal reaches the minimum and maximum values during the cycle and accordingly the SVAs reach their minimum and maximum volumes. Fig. 4b displays the resulting displacement of the manipulator tip and the outputs of the identified model (4) for the same inputs depicted in Fig. 4a. These figures are a comprehensive example of comparison between the actual data and the identified model output which show that the model outputs ρ and θ follow the corresponding measured output values throughout the duration of the experiment with sufficient accuracy. The average NMAE for ρ and θ in three repeating cycles of the plotted input in Fig. 4a is given by 5.5% and 7.5%, respectively. The NMAE value remains below 10% for other tested data sets. Thus, our linear state-space model of the entire mechanism is efficiently accurate to use in the design of controllers for the manipulator, despite the difficult-to-characterize nonlinear dynamics of the hydrogel actuators themselves.

V. CONTROLLER DESIGN

It can be shown that the open-loop state-space model (4), which has the corresponding transfer function \( G_o(s) \), is stable, controllable, and observable. In this section, we design two trajectory tracking controllers based on this state-space model, an observer-based output-feedback controller and an \( H_\infty \)-optimal output-feedback controller. Block diagrams of the controllers are illustrated in Fig. 3. Both controllers are designed to track a reference trajectory \( r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1} \) while attenuating the effects of noise, denoted by \( n(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1} \), and external disturbances, denoted by \( d(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1} \).

A. Observer-based output-feedback controller

In this type of controller, an observer is designed to compute an estimate \( \hat{x}(t) \) of the unknown system state vector \( x(t) \) from the control input \( u(t) \) and the output \( y(t) \). The control input is defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\hat{x}}(t) &= (A - BK_O - LC)\hat{x}(t) + Ly(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( K_O \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 4} \) is the feedback gain matrix, which can be computed as though all state variables are measurable, depending only on the \( A \) and \( B \) matrices. With this control input, the observer is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\hat{x}}(t) &= (A - BK_O - LC)\hat{x}(t) + Ly(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( L \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 2} \), the observer gain matrix, must be defined such that \( A - LC \) is a Hurwitz matrix [24]. The following \( K_O \) and \( L \) matrices were computed for the 25 mm extension:

\[
K_O = \begin{bmatrix}
-3.8929 & 2.3760 & -0.1061 & 0.2888 \\
3.6672 & -0.9670 & 0.0949 & -0.2706
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix}
18.5160 & -21.9676 \\
0.1852 & -7.7968 \\
-0.0051 & 0.0232 \\
-0.0649 & 0.0042
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

B. \( H_\infty \)-optimal output-feedback controller

The \( H_\infty \)-optimal controller is designed using Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI) methods [28, 29]; MATLAB’s YALMIP toolbox [30] is then used to solve the optimization problem numerically. The interconnected system \( S(K_{H_\infty}, G_o) \) of the optimal gain matrix \( K_{H_\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \) and the open-loop system \( G_o(s) \), with external input defined as \( w = [r^T \ d^T \ n^T]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 1} \) and external output \( z = r - y \), represents the closed-loop system with the \( H_\infty \) gain:

\[
\|z\|_{L_2} \leq \|S(K_{H_\infty}, G_o)\|_{H_\infty} \|w\|_{L_2}.
\]

The optimal gain matrix \( K_{H_\infty} \) is obtained as the solution to an optimization problem that minimizes the effect of the external input (\( w \)) on the external output (\( z \)). We can prove that the \( H_\infty \) gain is bounded using the bounded-real lemma [29] (see Appendix). The control law is designed in the the output-feedback tracking structure:

\[
\begin{align*}
u(t) &= -K_H(t)r(t) - y(t) - n(t),
\end{align*}
\]

The gain matrix for the 25 mm extension was computed as

\[
K_{H_\infty} = \begin{bmatrix}
-1.7371 & 2.9015 \\
-0.3775 & -2.4158
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we study the performance of \( H_\infty \) and observer controllers for trajectory tracking. An elliptical reference trajectory is used, defined by

\[
r(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} t \right) \\
\beta + \gamma \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} t \right)
\end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

where \( \alpha = 0.8 \), \( \beta = 27.7 \), and \( \gamma = 0.1 \) for the 25 mm extension, and \( \alpha = 0.6 \), \( \beta = 11.5 \), and \( \gamma = 0.3 \) for the 9 mm
extension, to ensure that each path lies in the workspace of its corresponding manipulator (see Fig. 2). The manipulator’s tracking performance degraded at frequencies of higher than one cycle per minute.

A. Comparison of controllers in simulation

The performance of the two controllers is first compared in simulation in the presence of the following disturbance and noise signals:

\[ d(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.00015 \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{60} t\right) \\ 0.00045 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{60} t\right) \end{bmatrix}^T, \]

\[ n(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{60} t\right) \\ 0.3 \sin\left(\frac{0.5\pi}{60} t\right) \end{bmatrix}^T. \]

The manipulator with the 25 mm extension was simulated in MATLAB Simulink, using the output-feedback tracking framework depicted in Fig. 3 and the identified model and controller values designed in the previous section. Figures 4c and 4d plot the \( x \) and \( y \) coordinates of the manipulator tip over time for one cycle (60 s), given an elliptical reference trajectory, from each controller. To observe the effect of adding noise and disturbance in simulation, the sinusoidal functions of \( n \) and \( d \) were input to the 25 mm manipulator. The tracking trajectories of the manipulator tip produced by each controller are compared in Figs. 4e and 4f, separately. Although the simulations are performed across three cycles, only one cycle is shown in the figures and used in the error comparison for clarity. The tracking error for each case is reported in Table I. The NMAE values were computed by dividing the mean absolute error (MAE) over their corresponding range. All the values are relatively low, under 10\%, indicating accurate tracking.

B. Experimental validation of \( H_{\infty} \)-optimal controller

Since the \( H_{\infty} \)-optimal controller exhibited higher tracking accuracy in simulation both with and without disturbance and noise, it was selected for experimental implementation. Using the designed control gain for \( H_{\infty} \), we have implemented the output-feedback tracking framework depicted in Fig. 3b on the hydrogel-based manipulator (Fig. 1). Half-ellipse and quarter-ellipse paths were also used as reference trajectories. Sources of noise in the experiment arise in the testing environment and vision-based feedback. Disturbances include modeling and manufacturing errors. The MAE and NMAE values are reported for one cycle per trajectory in Table II, though three repeating cycles per trajectory were collected.

Figure 5a compares the trajectory of a manipulator with the 25 mm extension driven by the controller (8) along an elliptical reference trajectory. Controller performance was evaluated using a half-ellipse and quarter-ellipse reference trajectory as well, to verify the ability of the controlled system to track straight lines and sharp turns (Figs. 5b and 5c). Figures 5d, 5e, and 5f show the controlled position of the 9 mm extension’s tip using the same reference trajectories. Figures 5g and 5h illustrate the time evolution of the \( x \) and \( y \) coordinates separately for the two extensions.
In order to further characterize our system's actuation capabilities, the manipulator's trajectory-tracking performance under load was studied, as shown in Fig. 5i. Loads (stainless steel nuts) weighing 1 g, 2 g, and 3 g were placed on the 25 mm extension, as shown in Fig. 2b. The manipulator was commanded to follow the same elliptical trajectory as in the unloaded case. The results show that the addition of a weight of up to 3 g increases the trajectory tracking NMAE from 8.1% to 10.2% (see Table II). Despite the increase in error, each actuator is still able to function under a load as large as 12.5 times its own weight (0.12 g). As shown in Table II, the experimental NMAE values are higher than the simulation values, but remain below 15%.

C. Payload transport application

Inspired by the way starfish transport food using their tube feet (Figs. 6b and 6c) [31], [32], we configured an array of four 9 mm manipulators, as shown in Fig. 6e, and applied the proposed $H_{\infty}$-optimal controller in (8) and Fig. 3b to each manipulator in order to transport a payload across their tips. The payload being transported is a clear acrylic plate. The manipulators are commanded to track reference trajectories as depicted in Fig. 6a, with phase shifts between adjacent manipulators. The payload moves to the right as the manipulators complete repeated cycles of the reference trajectories (“gait cycles”), as shown in Figs. 6d and 6e. The data from Fig. 6d on the duration of one gait cycle and the payload displacement in each tested scenario including the ones with extra added loads on the payload are reported in Table III. A video of the payload transport is attached as supplementary material. The payload’s position is recorded but not controlled in this exemplar application, since our goal in this paper was to demonstrate a use-case for trajectory tracking control. However, many other platforms and applications are possible, including bio-inspired ones [8]. Through this example, we have demonstrated how trajectory tracking control of systems with soft actuators, when applied to even simple platforms such as this 2-DOF manipulator, may be used to complete complex tasks such as object transport when used in parallel. This type of design can be used to simplify and decouple the control structures in future applications to reduce computational expense.

---

**Table III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference trajectory</th>
<th>Payload weight + load (g)</th>
<th>Time for one gait cycle (s)</th>
<th>$\Delta X$ after five gait cycles (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ellipse</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-ellipse</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter-ellipse</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipse</td>
<td>2.7+1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipse</td>
<td>2.7+2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipse</td>
<td>2.7+3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 5. Tracking reference and experimental trajectories of manipulator tip in Cartesian coordinates. 25 mm manipulator tracking: (a) an elliptical trajectory; (b) a half-ellipse; (c) a quarter-ellipse. 9 mm manipulator tracking: (d) an elliptical trajectory; (e) a half-ellipse; (f) a quarter-ellipse. (g) 25 mm manipulator tracking an elliptical trajectory: $x, y$ coordinates over time separately. (h) 9 mm manipulator tracking an elliptical trajectory: $x, y$ coordinates over time separately. (i) 25 mm manipulator tracking an elliptical trajectory under 1 g, 2 g, and 3 g.
VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we addressed a trajectory-tracking problem for a millimeter-scale 2-DOF manipulator with soft hydrogel-based actuators. We defined a linear state-space model of the manipulator and fit the matrices of this model using input-output measurement black-box identification. This state-space representation enables the implementation of a range of controllers on the manipulator; in this paper, the performance of an observer-based controller was compared in simulation to that of an $H_{\infty}$-optimal controller in an output-feedback framework with and without noise and disturbance. We showed experimentally that different versions of the manipulator are able to track various reference trajectories, even under load, using the $H_{\infty}$-optimal controller.

Our ability to coordinate independently controllable soft actuators with complex internal dynamics in a robotic system demonstrates progress in the real-time, closed-loop control of mechanisms with this type of actuator. We expect that researchers will be able to adapt this approach across similar stimuli-responsive materials as they are developed and optimized. This will also permit SVAs, manufactured from a variety of materials, to be used for controlled grasping, manipulation, and locomotion tasks across a variety of new soft robotic platforms, such as octopus-inspired continuum robots [8]. Our approach can be used to design and implement decentralized controllers on segmented mechanisms with distributed hydrogel actuators, as discussed in our related work [8], [33].

In future work, we plan to improve the speed of the image processing algorithms for tracking the manipulator, and ultimately eliminate the use of the camera for position tracking and instead implement this control scheme using embedded sensor feedback. This will enable the application of machine learning techniques to optimize control performance.

APPENDIX

We can prove that the $H_{\infty}$ gain is bounded using the bounded-real lemma [29] below.

**Lemma:** Suppose that

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Then, the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\|G(s)\|_{H_{\infty}} \leq \gamma$.
2. There exists a $P > 0$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T P + PA & PB \\ B^T P & -\gamma I \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} C^T & D^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

The proof that statement 1 implies 2 requires the Hamiltonian, and the proof that statement 2 implies 1 uses the global stability conditions of the Lyapunov function [29].

---

Fig. 6. Control of four 9 mm manipulators in series for payload transport, in a manner similar to food transport by starfish tube feet. (a) The manipulators, numbered 1 to 4 from left to right, are commanded to first follow the cyan dashed lines from their initial positions to their starting positions on the reference trajectories, and then follow these trajectories, shown as red dashed lines. Manipulators 1 and 3 have a phase shift of $180^\circ$ compared to manipulators 2 and 4. (b) Illustration of a starfish-inspired robotic platform with four hydrogel-actuated manipulators. (c) Real starfish transporting a clam on its tube feet. (d) Displacement of the payload as a function of time for different reference trajectories and load weights. (e) Array of four manipulators functioning as described in (a) to transport the payload. Image was taken when the manipulators completed the first gait cycle. The payload is a clear flat acrylic plate with a black square on its left side. The positions of the manipulator tips are marked by triangles at their initial locations, circles at the start of the gait cycle, squares at the middle of the gait cycle, and diamonds at the end of the gait cycle.
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