# Video and Audio Trace Files of Pre-encoded Video Content for Network Performance Measurements

Frank H.P. Fitzek, Michele Zorzi DipInge, Università di Ferrara via Saragat 1, 44100 Ferrara Italy ffitzek|zorzi@ing.unife.it

Abstract—Video services are expected to account for a large portion of the traffic in future wireless networks. Therefore realistic traffic sources are needed to investigate the network performance of future communication protocols. In our previous work we focused on video services for 3G networks. We provided a publicly available library of frame size traces of long MPEG-4 and H.263 encoded videos in the QCIF format resulting in low bandwidth video streams. These traces can be used for the simulation of 3G networks. Some future communication systems, such as the WLAN systems, offer high data rates and therefore high quality video can be transmitted over such higher speed networks. In this paper we present an addition to our existing trace library. For this addition we collected over 100 pre-encoded video sequences from the WEB, generated the trace files, and conducted a thorough statistical evaluation. Because the preencoded video sequences are encoded by different users they differ in the video settings in terms of codec, quality, format, and length. The advantage of user diversity for encoding is that it reflects very well the traffic situation in upcoming WLANs. Thus, the new traces are very suitable for the network performance evaluation of future WLANs.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Mobile communication networks of the second generation, such as GSM, were optimized for voice services. Future networks will also support enhanced services such as video communication or streaming video. Currently in Europe network providers start to provide video services on mobile phones. Due to the small end-system and the low bandwidth the video quality is typically low. For more sophisticated video services with TV quality, higher bandwidth and more enhanced end-systems are needed. Both of these requirements are met in WLAN networks. The data rates go up to 54 Mbit/s for IEEE802.11a and a large variety of end-systems is available. Moreover a wide range of video applications based on IP is available for free on the Internet.

For the performance evaluation of future communication protocols realistic traffic sources are needed for simulations. In our previous works for H.261, H.263, H263+ (all presented in [1]), H.26L [2] and MPEG4 [1], [3] we have demonstrated that for video traffic the usage of traces is a good choice. After having investigated over 50 video sequences (covering sport events, movies, cartoons, surveillance, and news) at different quality levels, we concluded that the video traffic characteristics depend on the video content itself and the Patrick Seeling, Martin Reisslein Arizona State University Department of Electrical Engineering Tempe, AZ 85287-7206 patrick.seeling|reisslein@asu.edu

chosen encoder settings (frame types used, quality, without rate control or with rate control). Furthermore, we recognized that each video sequence differs from others, which makes modeling of these types of traffic sources very difficult. Several researchers used our traces for, e.g., QoS provisioning for IEEE 802.11b networks as in [4] or for general QoS provisioning as in [5]. We encoded each video sequence with different settings such as the quality or the resulting bit rate to offer other researchers a large library satisfying their needs.

For all our previous measurements we played a video sequence on a VCR and grabbed each frame with a video card. Interested readers are referred to [1] for the grabbing process. We stored the complete *original* video sequence on disk. Afterwards we encoded the original sequence with different encoder settings using different video codecs. E.g., for our H.263 measurements presented in [1], we encoded with the target bit rates 16k, 64k, and 256k (with rate control), and with different quantization scale settings (without rate control). The encoded bit stream was parsed bit-wise to retrieve the video frame with its play-out time, its frame size, and its frame type to obtain the video trace file. Each video codec had its own parser following the appropriate standard. The video trace file was used for the statistical analysis of the encoded video data. Afterwards we decoded the encoded bit stream and obtained the decoded bit stream. By comparing the original and the decoded bit stream, we were able to calculate the peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR). This procedure is based on a pixelwise comparison and is given in more detail in [6]. With our VideoMeter tool [6] we were able to examine the original and the decoded video sequence simultaneously displaying the pixel differences and the actual PSNR values.

The disadvantage of the previous approach was that this type of investigation is very time consuming, which is due to two facts: First, the entire grabbing and encoding process takes a lot of time, and secondly due to the diversity in the encoder settings and the encoder itself (H.26x or MPEGx), the encoding process had to be repeated several times. Furthermore, we face the problem that numerous video encoders are emerging. As an example current video players support about 100 different video codecs and their derivates. The most important encoders are DivX; -) (including DIV3, DIV4, DIV5, DIV6, MP43, etc), Windows Media Video 7/8/9, and

the RealPlayer (including RV 20/30/40). Following our former approach this would require a new parser for each of them.

Even more time is needed if the video format is not limited to the QCIF (144x176 pixels) or CIF (288x352 pixels) format as it was used in our former work. The QCIF and CIF formats fit well for the application in UMTS networks, where the wireless bandwidth is limited to an overall data rate of 2Mbit/s. WLAN networks can offer higher bandwidths (up to 54 Mbit/s) and therefore may support a much higher video quality in terms of video format, frame rate, and quantization than cellular competitors. For the protocol design for WLAN networks, video trace files of currently used codecs with higher quality are needed. To offer a large library for these networks with higher bandwidth a new approach is needed.

### II. TRACE GENERATION FOR PRE-ENCODED VIDEO

We developed an approach to use pre-encoded video content, which is shared on the Internet between users, for the video trace generation. The advantage of this approach is that the entire grabbing and encoding process (including the choice of encoder parameter settings) is already done by different users, who seemed to be satisfied by the quality of the video content after encoding. This type of video content is shared among users in the fixed wired Internet, but it appears that this content is an appropriate content for streaming video in WLAN networks. The reason for this lies in the fact that the video content was encoded for transmission (full download) over MoDem like links (56k analog MoDem – 1M DSL) in a timely fashion.

For our measurements we collected over 100 pre-encoded sequences on the web<sup>1</sup>. We focused on different actual movies and TV series. A subset of all investigated sequences is given in Tables I and II. The video sequences given in Table I are used also for the statistical evaluation, while sequences in Table II are listed because of specific characteristics found. The tables give the sequence name and video and audio information. The video information includes the codec type, the format, frame rate, and data rate. We found a large variety of video codecs, such as DX50, DIV4, DIV3, XVID, RV20, RV30, DIVX, and MPEG1. The video format ranges from from very small (160x120) to large (640x352). The frame rate ranges from 23.98 to 29.97 frames/sec.

These sequences were fed into the mplayer tool [7] version 0.90 by rpd Gereffy. The tool is based on the libmpg3 library and an advancement of the mpg12play and avip tools. Major modifications to the source codes were made such that the mplayer tool played the video sequence and simultaneously printed each frame with the frame number, the play-out time, the video frame size, the audio frame size, and a cumulative bit size into our trace files. An excerpt of a trace file is given in Table III. By means of this approach we avoid having to write a parser for each video codec.

TABLE I INVESTIGATED VIDEO STREAMS: MOVIES.

| sequence        |              | audio   |            |           |          |
|-----------------|--------------|---------|------------|-----------|----------|
|                 | codec format |         | frame rate | data rate | rate     |
|                 |              | [pixel] | [1/s]      | [kbit/s]  | [kbit/s] |
| Bully1          | DX50         | 576x432 | 25.00      | 1263.8    | 128.0    |
| Bully3          | DX50         | 512x384 | 25.00      | 988.6     | 128.0    |
| Hackers         | DIV4         | 720x576 | 23.98      | 794.8     | 96.0     |
| LOTR II-CD1     | XVID         | 640x272 | 23.98      | 966.0     | 80.0     |
| LOTR II-CD2     | XVID         | 640x272 | 23.98      | 965.2     | 80.0     |
| Oceans11        | DIV3         | 544x224 | 23.98      | 707.7     | 128.0    |
| RobinHoodDisney | DIV3         | 512x384 | 23.98      | 1028.9    | 96.0     |
| ServingSara     | XVID         | 560x304 | 23.98      | 831.2     | 128.0    |
| StealingHarvard | XVID         | 640x352 | 23.98      | 989.1     | 128.0    |
| Final Fantasy   | DIV3         | 576x320 | 23.98      | 823.9     | 128.0    |
| TombRaider      | DIV3         | 576x240 | 23.98      | 820.3     | 128.0    |
| Roughnecks      | DIV3         | 352x272 | 29.97      | 849.1     | 128.0    |
| KissoftheDragon | DIV3         | 640x272 | 23.98      | 846.6     | 128.0    |

TABLE II Investigated video streams: TV series.

| sequence    | video |         |            |           | audio    |
|-------------|-------|---------|------------|-----------|----------|
|             | codec | format  | frame rate | data rate | rate     |
|             |       | [pixel] | [1/s]      | [kbit/s]  | [kbit/s] |
| Friends4x03 | DIV3  | 512x384 | 25.00      | 1015.1    | 128.0    |
| Friends4x04 | DIV3  | 640x480 | 25.00      | 747.4     | 64.1     |
| Friends9x13 | DIV3  | 320x240 | 29.97      | 498.2     | 128.0    |
| Friends9x14 | DIVX  | 352x240 | 29.97      | 589.7     | 56.0     |
| Dilbert1x06 | MPEG1 | 160x120 | 29.97      | 192.0     | 64.0     |
| Dilbert2x03 | DIV3  | 220x150 | 29.99      | 129.4     | 32.0     |
| Dilbert2x04 | RV30  | 220x148 | 30.00      | 132.0     | 32.0     |
| Dilbert2x05 | RV20  | 320x240 | 19.00      | 179.0     | 44.1     |

The trace files were used for the statistical analysis of the video data. Both, the trace files and the statistical analysis of the sequences given in the tables are publically available at [8]. We measured that the video file size is always slightly larger than the sum of the frame sizes produced by the video and audio encoders. To explain this fact, we have to state first that all video sequences are mostly distributed in the AVI format. Simply speaking the AVI format is a container. Due to the container information the file size is larger than the video and audio format. We do not include this overhead into our trace files. In case of multimedia streaming the video and audio information is packetized into RTP frames. The RTP header contains all important information for the playout process at the receiver. Therefore we assume that the additional container information is not needed and therefore not included in the trace file. In Section V we give a short introduction how to integrate RTP streams into simulations.

| E  | EXCERPT OF TH | e video ' | TRACE F | ILE.  |
|----|---------------|-----------|---------|-------|
| 50 | 2.043710      | 338       | 640     | 38870 |
| 51 | 2.085418      | 550       | 640     | 40060 |
| 52 | 2.127127      | 896       | 640     | 41596 |
| 53 | 2.168835      | 1342      | 640     | 43578 |
| 54 | 2.210544      | 709       | 640     | 44927 |
| 55 | 2.252252      | 817       | 640     | 46384 |
| 56 | 2.293961      | 807       | 640     | 47831 |
| 57 | 2.335669      | 786       | 640     | 49257 |
| 58 | 2.377378      | 728       | 640     | 50625 |
| 59 | 2.419086      | 807       | 640     | 52072 |
| 60 | 2.460794      | 652       | 640     | 53364 |

TABLE III

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To avoid any conflict with copyright we do not make the video sequences publicly available on our web page. Only the frame size traces and statistics are made available for networking researchers.

Our new approach has also some significant shortcomings in contrast to our former approach. The first drawback is that the PSNR quality values cannot be generated with the new approach as the original (unencoded) video content is not available. Consequently it is not possible to assess the video quality from these new traces. The second drawback is that the encoded video streams differ in terms of video format, resulting bit rate for audio and video, frame rate, and video quantization as they come from different users, see Tables I and II. We assume that all sequences come from different users, because they were collected from different web sites. All sequences differ at least in one column of the table. On one hand we want realistic traces and different settings to reflect the real network traffic, but it might be more difficult to introduce them into the simulations. Therefore we present also video sequences which have similar video settings such as the Friends episodes. Nevertheless, this diversity has to be kept in mind by the researcher applying our traces.

A further problem is that the collected video sources are not encoded for real-time transmission. In our former work we used group of pictures (GoP) with length 12 (corresponding to about 480 ms for a full GoP), i.e., each 12th frame provided a full update of the video information. This is very important in presence of high bit error rates. The question arises how robust the investigated pre-encoded video streams are. We leave the answer to this question for further studies and note that the presented streams are well suited for video streaming over reliable links, but the application for real-time communication over error prone links is not yet clear.

#### III. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF VIDEO TRACES

In this section we give an overview of our statistical analysis of the frame size traces and refer to [9] for more details. We illustrate the salient results with one video sequence, namely *Serving Sara*. For the statistical evaluation of the traces we introduce the following notation. Let N denote the number of considered frames of a given video sequence. In case of the *Serving Sara* sequence this would be N = 143837. In Figure 1 the frame sizes versus time are given for *Serving Sara*. The individual frame sizes are denoted by  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ . The mean frame size  $\overline{X}$  is estimated as

$$\overline{X} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i. \tag{1}$$

The aggregated frame size for an aggregation level of *a* frames is denoted by  $\overline{X_a}(j)$  and is estimated as

$$\overline{X_a}(j) = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \sum_{i=(j-1)a+1}^{ja} X_i.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

In Figure 2 the aggregated frame sizes versus time is given for *Serving Sara* for the aggregation level a = 800. The characteristics of the video sequence is much better illustrated than in Figure 1. From the aggregation plot we see that this video sequence is clearly variable bit rate (VBR) encoded. The



Fig. 1 FRAME SIZES VERSUS TIME FOR Serving Sara.



AGGREGATED FRAME SIZES (AGGREGATION LEVEL 800) VERSUS TIME FOR Serving Sara.

variance  $S_X^2$  of the framesize is estimated as

$$S_X^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \overline{X})^2.$$
 (3)

The coefficient of variation CoV of the frame size is estimated as

$$CoV = \frac{S_X}{\overline{X}}.$$
 (4)

In Table IV we give an overview of the frame statistics for several video sequences. The table presents the mean frame size, the coefficient of variation, and the peak to mean ratio of the frame size. Furthermore, the mean and peak bit rates are given. Note, the data rates given in Table I are based on the output of the mplayer tool, while the data rates given below are an output of our evaluation tool. We observe that

#### 0-7803-8145-9/04/\$17.00 ©2004 IEEE.

OVERVIEW OF FRAME STATISTICS OF TRACES. frame sizes bit rate peak/mean peak mean CoV mean X  $\overline{X}/t$ sequence  $S_X/\overline{X}$  $X_{\max}/\overline{X}$  $X_{\max}/t$ [Mbit/s] [Mbit/s] Bully 6319 1.2'35.68 1.2645.09 4942 1.24 Bully3 31.01 0.98 30.66 Hackers 4150 0.62 43 78 0.79 34.85 LOTR II-CD1 0.59 5036 15.69 0.96 15.16 LOTR II-CD2 5032 0.60 16.77 0.96 16.19 Oceans11 3694 0.75 20.49 0.71 14.52 RobinHoodDisney 5364 0.74 26.06 26.82 1.02 19.45 ServingSara 4333 0.66 23.40 0.83 StealingHarvard 5156 0.59 15.91 0.98 15.74 FinalFantasy 4295 0.74 20.17 0.83 16.62 TombRaider 4289 0.76 22.47 0.82 18.49 3541 14.10 0.84 11.97 Roughnecks 0.57 KissoftheDragon 4413 0.61 16.63 0.84 14.08

TABLE IV

the streams are highly variable with peak to mean ratios of the frame sizes in the range from approximately 15 to about 25 for most of the video streams and three extremely variable streams with peak to mean ratios of up to 44. In our earlier trace studies the peak to mean ratios of the frame sizes were typically in the range from 3 - 5 for videos encoded with rate control (in a closed loop) and in the range from 7 - 19 for the videos encoded without rate control (in an open loop). Clearly these new video streams are significantly more variable, posing particular challenges for network transport. We note also that the peak rates fit well within the bit rates provided by the emerging WLAN standards.

Besides the mean and variance of the frame sizes, the frame size distribution is very important for the network design. Furthermore, the distribution of the frame sizes is needed in order to make any statistical modeling of the traffic possible. Frame size histograms or probability distributions allow us to make observations concerning the variability of the encoded data and the necessary requirements for the purpose of real-time transport of the data over a combination of wired and wireless networks. In Figure 3 we present the inverse cumulative frame size distribution G as a function of the frame size for *Serving Sara*. For the probability density function p as well as the probability distribution function F, we refer to [9].

Many researchers simply pick a frame size distribution and randomly generate frames as a traffic model. But such a model would not represent the characteristic of the video sequences as it does not include the dependencies between frames. Therefore one needs to take the autocorrelation into consideration. The autocorrelation function [10] can be used for the detection of non-randomness in data or identification of an appropriate time series model if the data are not random. One basic assumption is that the observations are equi-spaced. The autocorrelation is expressed as a correlation coefficient, referred to as autocorrelation coefficient (acc). Instead of the correlation between two different variables, the correlation is between two values of the same process (stream) at times  $X_t$  and  $X_{t+k}$ . When the autocorrelation is used to detect non-randomness, it is usually only the first (lag k = 1)



INVERSE CUMULATIVE FRAME SIZE DISTRIBUTION FOR Serving Sara

autocorrelation that is of interest. When the autocorrelation is used to identify an appropriate time series model, the autocorrelations are usually plotted for a range of lags k. With our notation the acc can be estimated by

$$\rho_X(k) = \frac{1}{N-k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} \frac{\left(X_i - \overline{X}\right) \cdot \left(X_{i+k} - \overline{X}\right)}{S_X^2}, \quad (5)$$

where k = 0, 1, ..., N - 1.

In Figure 4 we plot the frame size autocorrelation coefficients as a function of the lag k. We observe that the



AUTOCORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOR Serving Sara

autocorrelation very rapidly drops from 1 to values between 0.4 and 0.6 and then drops off only very slowly. This indicates that there are significant correlations in the sizes between relatively distant frames. Which in turn results in traffic bursts that tend to persist for relatively long periods of time, making it very challenging to accommodate this traffic in networks.

The Hurst parameter, or self-similarity parameter, H, is a key measure of self-similarity [11], [12]. H is a measure of the persistence of a statistical phenomenon and is a measure of the length of the long range dependence of a stochastic process. A Hurst parameter of H = 0.5 indicates absence of self-similarity whereas H = 1 indicates the degree of persistence or a present long-range dependence. The H parameter can be estimated from a graphical interpolation of the so-called R/S plot, which is shown in Figure 5 for *Serving Sara*. In Table V



SINGLE FRAME R/S PLOT AND H PARAMETER FOR Serving Sara

the Hurst parameters of the frame size traces from the pox plots of the R/S statistics are given for each video sequence for different aggregation levels a. All investigated video sequences indicate a high degree of long-range-dependence. For the aggregation level a = 1, most sequences have Hurst parameters larger than 0.8. Only two sequences have significantly smaller values. The Hackers sequences is the only sequence that has the letterboxes (black bars on top and at the bottom for the 16:9 adjustment). Furthermore this sequence has a large peak to mean ratio as given in Table IV. It appears that these two attributes have a large impact on the R/S calculation. The Roughnecks sequences is dominated by very dark scenes and very quick movements, which may also result in a small Hurst parameter. For all other videos we observe H values above 0.7 even for the large aggregation levels giving a very strong indication of long range dependence.

We have confirmed these Hurst parameter estimates with the Variance time plot and periodogram, see [9] for details.

### IV. COMPARISON WITH OTHER TRACES

It is very hard to compare our former traces with the traces generated with the presented approach. This is due to the different encoder settings and video formats. Nevertheless, in Figure 6 a comparison of the frame sizes for the *Robin-HoodDisney* video sequence is given using former results for MPEG-4 measurements and our new approach. For a better illustration we used an aggregation level of 800. The MPEG-4 measurements were done for three different quality levels

TABLE V HURST PARAMETERS ESTIMATED FROM THE POX DIAGRAM OF R/S as a function of the aggregation level.

|                 | aggregation level a |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| sequence        | 1                   | 12    | 50    | 100   | 200   | 400   | 800   |
| Bully1          | 0.884               | 0.861 | 0.838 | 0.842 | 0.821 | 0.784 | 0.655 |
| Bully3          | 0.870               | 0.861 | 0.856 | 0.889 | 0.908 | 0.940 | 1.030 |
| Hackers         | 0.503               | 0.517 | 0.513 | 0.531 | 0.520 | 0.486 | 0.619 |
| LOTR II-CD1     | 0.960               | 0.879 | 0.848 | 0.847 | 0.866 | 0.809 | 0.750 |
| LOTR II-CD2     | 0.976               | 0.876 | 0.894 | 0.926 | 0.934 | 0.864 | 0.816 |
| Oceans11        | 0.917               | 0.844 | 0.818 | 0.809 | 0.787 | 0.756 | 0.736 |
| RobinHoodDisney | 0.815               | 0.826 | 0.806 | 0.798 | 0.810 | 0.784 | 0.808 |
| ServingSara     | 0.936               | 0.853 | 0.849 | 0.839 | 0.821 | 0.790 | 0.740 |
| StealingHarvard | 0.966               | 0.894 | 0.853 | 0.813 | 0.785 | 0.700 | 0.675 |
| Final Fantasy   | 0.916               | 0.833 | 0.779 | 0.769 | 0.752 | 0.733 | 0.726 |
| TombRaider      | 0.908               | 0.849 | 0.852 | 0.850 | 0.843 | 0.800 | 0.731 |
| Roughnecks      | 0.647               | 0.650 | 0.650 | 0.631 | 0.633 | 0.690 | 0.771 |
| KissoftheDragon | 0.902               | 0.852 | 0.808 | 0.809 | 0.802 | 0.780 | 0.774 |

(see also [1]) and the QCIF (144x176) video format using the MoMoSyS software [13]. Our new approach uses the DIV3 codec and the video format is 512x384. Clearly the data rate is smaller for the medium and low qualities, but the high quality OCIF video and the DIV3 video have nearly the same data rates. Interesting is the dynamic behavior of the frame sizes. The dynamics of the variable bit rate traffic are nearly identical for all four curves. Especially the comb during the period from 65000 - 70000 frames and the peak at 21500 represent this similarity. We note that this dymanic behvior is the same for the H.263 encoded video, see [8]. We emphasize that these similarities are observed even though the videos were encoded completely independently (using different encoders applied to the sequences grabbed from a VCR with our previous approach and by someone posting a DIV3 encoding on the web with our new approach).



Fig. 6 Comparison of former work with actual approach regarding the frame sizes.

### V. USING NETWORK SIMULATORS WITH VIDEO TRACES

In [14] we give detailed instructions on how our video trace files may be used by other researchers in their own simulation environments. Examples of implementations for NS2, PTOLEMY, and OMNET++ are also given in [14]. Regarding the presented work we note that besides the video trace

information also audio information are available. Therefore two different streams will be used to transport the data in an IP environment. Note, that each frame needs its own transport and network information, which can be an additional overhead (of 40 bytes for real-time transmission using RTP/UDP on top of IPv4) for each packet as illustrated in Figure 7. This will increase the traffic significantly and has to be accounted for in the implementation process.



Fig. 7 Using the trace file in simulation with RTP/UDP/IP Environment.

## VI. CONCLUSION

We have generated and analyzed video and audio traces of video content currently being exchanged on the web. The traces reflect the wide variety of video encoders, video formats, and frame rates that are currently (and probably also in the near future) being transmitted over the Internet, including its wireless components, such as WLANs. Compared to our earlier traces which primarily relect the video suitable for transmission over 3G wireless networks to mobile devices with small displays, the new traces are for higher quality video (with large display formats) which is suitable for transmission over WLANs. Our statistical analysis of these new traces indicates that the WLAN suitable video is significantly more variable (bursty) than previously studied video streams; the peak to mean ratios of the frame sizes of the new traces are typically in the range from 15 to 35, whereas the range from 7 to 18 was typically observed before. We also observed that the new traces have very consistently high autocorrelations and Hurst parameters, further corroborating the burstiness of the traffic. We also observed that the audio bit rate is typically 8% to 15 % of the corresponding video bit rate. We make all our traces publicly available at [8] and provide instructions for using the traces in network evaluations.

## VII. OUTLOOK

In our future work we want to investigate the robustness of the presented video sequences in the presence of transmission errors. As we stated before the investigated video sequences are not encoded for the real-time transmission over wireless links. Therefore we will investigate the robustness of the video sequences by applying elementary bit errors patterns on the video sequences and measuring the quality degradation using our VideoMeter tool [6]. We used this procedure already in our former work as presented in [15] for different video GoP structures. One interesting result would be to aquire an understanding of how to set the parameters for encoding in presence of the wireless errors and to evaluate the increase in bandwidth requirements.

#### VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. Career ANI-0133252 and Grant No. ANI-0136774. Supported in part by the State of Arizona through the IT301 initiative. Supported in part by a matching grant and a special pricing grant from Sun Microsystems.

#### REFERENCES

- F. Fitzek and M. Reisslein, "MPEG-4 and H.263 video traces for network performance evaluation," *IEEE Network*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 40–54, November/December 2001.
- [2] F. Fitzek, P. Seeling, and M. Reisslein, "H.26L Pre-Standard Evaluation," acticom – mobile networks, Germany, Tech. Rep. acticom-02-002, November 2002.
- [3] M. Reisslein, F. Fitzek, and et. al., "Traffic and Quality Characterizaton of Scalable Encoded Video: A Large–Scale Trace–Based Study," Arizona State University, Telecommunications Research Center, Tech. Rep., 2002. [Online]. Available: http://trace.eas.asu.edu
- [4] A. Grilo, M. Macedo, and M. Nunes, "IP QoS support in IEEE 802.11b WLANs," *Computer Communicatons*, vol. 26, no. 17, pp. 1918–1930, Nov. 2003.
- [5] E. Hernàndez and J. Vila, "A new approach to optimize bandwidth reservation for real-time video transmission with deterministic guarantees," *Real–Time Imaging*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 11–26, Feb. 2003.
- [6] P. Seeling, F. Fitzek, and M. Reisslein, "Videometer," *IEEE Network Magazine*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 5, Jan. 2003, see also the extended technical report "Videometer tool for YUV bitstreams, acticom mobile networks, tech. rep. acticom-02-001, Oct. 2002". [Online]. Available: http://www.eas.asu.edu/~mre/videometer
- [7] A. Gereffy, "mplayer tool," http://www.mplayerhq.hu, May 2003, version 0.90.
- [8] Arizona State University, "Video traces for network performance evaluation," http://trace.eas.asu.edu.
- [9] F. Fitzek, M. Zorzi, P. Seeling, and M. Reisslein, "Video and audio trace files of pre-encoded video content for network performance measurements (extended version)," Dept.of Electrical Engineering, Arizona State University, Tech. Rep., July 2003. [Online]. Available: http://trace.eas.asu.edu
- [10] G. Box and G. Jenkins, *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*. Holden-Day, 1976.
- [11] H. Hurst, "Long-Term Storage Capacity of Reservoirs," Proc. American Society of Civil Engineering, vol. 76, no. 11, 1950.
- [12] W. E. Leland, M. S. Taqq, W. Willinger, and D. V. Wilson, "On the self-similar nature of Ethernet traffic," in *Proc. of ACM SIGCOMM*, San Francisco, CA, 1993, pp. 183–193.
- [13] G. Heising and M. Wollborn, "MPEG-4 version 2 video reference software package, ACTS AC098 mobile multimedia systems (MO-MUSYS)," Dec. 1999.
- [14] F. H. Fitzek, P. Seeling, and M. Reisslein, "Using Network Simulators with Video Traces," Arizona State University, Dept. of Electrical Engineering," Technical Report, March 2003.
- [15] F. Fitzek, P. Seeling, M. Reisslein, M. Rossi, and M. Zorzi, "Investigation of the GoP Structure for H.26L Video Streams," acticom – mobile networks, Germany, Tech. Rep. acticom-02-004, December 2002.